arXiv:1604.07214v3 [math.CO] 16 Jun 2016

p-SATURATIONS OF WELTER'S GAME AND THE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS

Yuki Irie

Graduate School of Science, Chiba University

We establish a relation between the Sprague-Grundy function sg of a p-saturation of Welter's game and the degrees of the ordinary irreducible representations of symmetric groups. In this game, a position can be viewed as a partition λ. Let ρ^λ be the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Sym}(|\lambda|)$ indexed by λ. For every prime p, we show the following results: (1) the degree of ρ^λ is prime to p if and only if $\mathrm{sg}(\lambda) = |\lambda|$; (2) the restriction of ρ^λ to $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{sg}(\lambda))$ has an irreducible component with degree prime to p. Further, for every integer p greater than 1, we obtain an explicit formula for $\mathrm{sg}(\lambda)$.

1 Introduction

1.1 Welter's game

Welter's game is played with a finite number of coins. These coins are on a semi-infinite strip of squares numbered $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ with no two coins on the same square. This game has two players. They alternately move a coin to an empty square with a lower number. The first player that is not able to move loses. We now consider the position X when the coins are on the squares numbered $x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^m$. Welter [13] shows that its Sprague-Grundy number $\mathrm{sg}(X)$ (see Section 2) can be expressed as

$$\mathrm{sg}(X) = x^1 \oplus_2 \cdots \oplus_2 x^m \oplus_2 \left(\bigoplus_{i<j}{}_2 \ \mathfrak{N}_2(x^i - x^j) \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\oplus_2$ is binary addition without carry and $\mathfrak{N}_2(x) = x \oplus_2 (x-1)$. Note that the position X can be represented both by the m-set $\{ x^1, \ldots, x^m \} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and by the m-tuple $(x^1, \ldots, x^m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of all non-negative integers. Throughout this paper, we use the set representation, unless otherwise specified.

Welter's game can also be played with a Young diagram [9]. Let σ be the permutation of $\{ 1, 2, \ldots, m \}$ such that $x^{\sigma(1)} > x^{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > x^{\sigma(m)}$. Let $\lambda(X)$ be the partition $(x^{\sigma(1)} - m + 1, x^{\sigma(2)} - m + 2, \ldots, x^{\sigma(m)})$. We identify $\lambda(X)$ with its Young diagram

$$\left\{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m,\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant x^{\sigma(i)} - m + i \right\}.$$

As a result, moving a coin corresponds to removing a hook. Sato [9, 10, 11] obtains (1.1) independently. In addition, he finds that $\mathrm{sg}(X)$ can be expressed in a form similar to the hook-length formula for the degrees of the irreducible representations of symmetric groups. Kawanaka [5] points out that $\mathrm{sg}(X)$ can also be expressed using the 2-core tower of $\lambda(X)$.[1]

The purpose of this paper is to establish a relation between the Sprague-Grundy function of a p-saturation of Welter's game and the degrees of the irreducible representations of symmetric groups.

1.2 p-Saturations

Let p be an integer greater than 1. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{W}^m$ denote Welter's game with m coins.

To define p-saturations of $\mathcal{W}^m$, we introduce a game $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,k}$ called $\mathcal{W}^m$ with p-index k, where k is a positive integer. This game comes from Moore's Nim_k (Nim with index k) [7] and Flanigan's Rim_k.[2] A position in $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,k}$ is the same as in $\mathcal{W}^m$. Let X and Y be two positions $(x^1, \ldots, x^m)$ and $(y^1, \ldots, y^m)$ in $\mathcal{W}^m$. Let $\mathrm{dist}(X, Y)$ denote the Hamming distance between X and Y, that is, $\mathrm{dist}(X, Y) = |\{\, i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m,\ x^i \neq y^i \,\}|$. In $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,k}$, players can move from X to Y if and only if

1. $0 < \mathrm{dist}(X, Y) < k$,
2. $y^i \leqslant x^i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$,
3. $\mathrm{ord}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x^i - y^i\right) = \min\left\{\, \mathrm{ord}(x^i - y^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \,\right\}$,

where $\mathrm{ord}(x)$ is the p-adic order of x, that is, $\mathrm{ord}(x) = \max\{\, L \in \mathbb{N} : p^L \mid x \,\}$ if $x \neq 0$, and $\mathrm{ord}(0) = \infty$. For example, in $\mathcal{W}^2_{2,3}$, they can move from $(4, 3)$ to $(0, 1)$, but cannot move from $(4, 3)$ to $(1, 0)$. In the set representation, they can move from $\{\, 3, 4 \,\}$ to $\{\, 0, 1 \,\}$.

The game $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,k}$ is called a *p-saturation* of $\mathcal{W}^m$ if it has the same Sprague-Grundy function as $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,m+1}$. The smallest such k is called the *p-saturation index* of $\mathcal{W}^m$, and denoted by $\mathrm{sat}_p(\mathcal{W}^m)$. By definition, if $h \geqslant \mathrm{sat}_p(\mathcal{W}^m)$, then $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,h}$ also has the same Sprague-Grundy function as $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,m+1}$. The formula (1.1) implies that $\mathrm{sat}_2(\mathcal{W}^m) = 2$ for every positive integer m. In general, we can show that $\mathrm{sat}_p(\mathcal{W}^m)$ is at least $\min(p, m+1)$, but we do not know its exact value for $3 \leqslant p \leqslant m$ (see Section 3).

1.3 Main results

We begin by introducing some definitions. Let X be a position in Welter's game, and let L be a non-negative integer. For each $(i, j) \in \lambda(X)$, the *hook* $H_{i,j}(X)$ is defined by

$$H_{i,j}(X) = \left\{\, (i', j') \in \lambda(X) : (i' \geqslant i \text{ and } j' = j) \text{ or } (i' = i \text{ and } j' \geqslant j) \,\right\}.$$

[1] Kawanaka [5] also generalizes Welter's game and obtains an efficient algorithm to find winning moves.

[2] Players can move at most $k - 1$ coins in Nim_k and Rim_k. Rim_k is introduced in an unpublished paper [3]. In Section 3, we show that p-saturations of Nim have the same Sprague-Grundy function as Rim_p.

A hook is called a p^L-*hook* if its length (size) is a multiple of p^L. Let $\overline{\tau}_L(X)$ denote the remainder of the number of p^L-hooks in $\lambda(X)$ divided by p. We define

$$\overline{\tau}(X) = \sum_{L \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\tau}_L(X) p^L. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\oplus_p$ and $\ominus_p$ be p-ary addition and subtraction without carry, respectively. For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathfrak{N}_p(x) = x \ominus_p (x-1)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a position $\{ x^1, \ldots, x^m \}$ in a p-saturation of $\mathcal{W}^m$. Then there exists a position Y such that $\lambda(Y) \subset \lambda(X)$ and $|\lambda(Y)| = \mathrm{sg}(Y) = \mathrm{sg}(X)$. Further,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sg}(X) &= \overline{\tau}(X) \\ &= x^1 \oplus_p \cdots \oplus_p x^m \ominus_p \left(\bigoplus_{i<j}{}_p \ \mathfrak{N}_p(x^i - x^j) \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \lambda(X)}{}_p \ \mathfrak{N}_p \left(\left| H_{i,j}(X) \right| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Suppose that p is a prime. Let X be a position in a p-saturation of Welter's game, and let ρ^X be the irreducible representation of the symmetric group $\mathrm{Sym}(|\lambda(X)|)$ indexed by $\lambda(X)$. By Macdonald's result [6], we see that the degree of ρ^X is prime to p if and only if $\overline{\tau}(X) = |\lambda(X)|$. From Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a position in a p-saturation of Welter's game. If p is a prime, then the following assertions hold:*

(1) *The degree of ρ^X is prime to p if and only if $\mathrm{sg}(X) = |\lambda(X)|$.*

(2) *The restriction of ρ^X to $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{sg}(X))$ has an irreducible component with degree prime to p, where $\mathrm{Sym}(0) = \mathrm{Sym}(1)$.*

Let X be a position in a p-saturation of Welter's game. In view of Corollary 1.2, it is natural to consider the maximum Sprague-Grundy number of a position Y with $\lambda(Y) \subset \lambda(X)$ and $\mathrm{sg}(Y) = |\lambda(Y)|$. A lower bound for this value is presented in Proposition 3.3.

1.4 Organization

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a notation and recall the concepts of impartial games and p-core towers. Section 3 contains the basic properties of p-saturations of Nim and Welter's game. In Section 4, we introduce p^H-options and reduce Theorem 1.1 to three technical lemmas on p^H-options. These lemmas are proven in Sections 5 and 6.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, p is an integer greater than 1. We write $\oplus$ instead of $\oplus_p$.

2.1 Notation

Let $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p^L}$ be the ring of integers modulo p^L. We regard $\mathbb{Z}_{p^L}$ as $\{0, 1, \ldots, p^{L-1}\}$.

Let x and y be two integers. Let $x_L^{(p)}$ denote the Lth digit in the p-adic expansion of x. We write x_L instead of $x_L^{(p)}$ when no confusion can arise. Thus $x = \sum_{L \in \mathbb{N}} x_L p^L$. We identify $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the infinite sequence $(x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$. For clarity, we sometimes write $(x_0, x_1, \ldots)_p$ instead of $(x_0, x_1, \ldots)$. In this notation,

$$x \oplus y = (x_0 + y_0, x_1 + y_1, \ldots) \quad \text{and} \quad x \ominus y = (x_0 - y_0, x_1 - y_1, \ldots).$$

Let $x_{\geqslant L}$ denote the quotient of x divided by p^L, that is, $x_{\geqslant L} = (x_L, x_{L+1}, \ldots)$. Let $x_{<L}$ denote the residue of x modulo p^L. We identify $x_{<L} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^L}$ with the finite sequence $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{L-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L$.

For each $* \in \{+, -, \oplus, \ominus\}$, we define $x_{<L} * y$ by

$$x_{<L} * y = (x * y)_{<L} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L,$$

which is well-defined. For example, $(0,0) \ominus 1 = (p-1, 0)$, while $(0,0) - 1 = (p-1, p-1)$ and $(0,0) \ominus p^2 = (0,0)$.

For each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $(x_{<L}, r)$ denote $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{L-1}, r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{L+1}$.

2.2 Games

Let Γ be a directed graph $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$, that is, $\mathcal{V}$ is a set and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$. For $X \in \mathcal{V}$, let $\lg(X)$ denote the maximum length of a path from X. In this paper, a directed graph Γ is called an *(impartial) game* if $\lg(X)$ is finite for every vertex X of Γ. Let Γ be a game. A vertex of Γ is called a *position* in Γ. For two positions X and Y in Γ, the position Y is called an *option* of X if there exists an edge from X to Y, and a *descendant* of X if there exists a path from X to Y. For example, in Welter's game, Y is a descendant of X if and only if $\lambda(Y) \subset \lambda(X)$. A descendant Y of X is said to be *proper* if $Y \neq X$.

Example 2.1 (Nim with p-index k)**.** Recall that Nim is Welter's game without the restriction that the coins are on distinct squares. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{N}^m$ denote Nim with m coins. Let $\mathcal{D}^m$ be the set of all m-tuples $(d^1, \ldots, d^m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that

$$\operatorname{ord}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} d^i\right) = \min\left\{ \operatorname{ord}(d^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{N}^m$. For each positive integer k, let $\mathcal{A}_k = \{(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}^2 : X - Y \in \mathcal{D}^m, 0 < \operatorname{dist}(X, Y) < k\}$. The game $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A}_k)$ is called $\mathcal{N}^m$ *with p-index k*, and denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{p,k}^m$. Note that $\mathcal{N}_{p,2}^m = \mathcal{N}^m$.

Example 2.2 (Welter's game with p-index k)**.** Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ (x^1, \ldots, x^m) \in \mathbb{N}^m : x^i \neq x^j \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m \right\}.$$

The induced subgraph of $\mathcal{N}_{p,k}^m$ to $\mathcal{V}$ is $\mathcal{W}_{p,k}^m$ in the tuple representation. This implies that, for every induced subgraph Γ of $\mathcal{N}^m$, we can define the p-saturations of Γ.

We now introduce Sprague-Grundy functions. Let Γ be a game and X a position in Γ. The *Sprague-Grundy number* $\mathrm{sg}(X)$ of X is defined as the minimum non-negative integer n such that X has no option Y with $\mathrm{sg}(Y) = n$. This function sg is called the *Sprague-Grundy function* of Γ. The Sprague-Grundy theorem [4, 12] states that X is equivalent to the position $(\mathrm{sg}(X))$ in $\mathcal{N}^1$. In particular, X is a winning position for the previous player if and only if $\mathrm{sg}(X) = 0$. See [1, 2] for details. Note that by definition, $\mathrm{sg}(X) \leqslant \mathrm{lg}(X)$. For example, in Welter's game, $\mathrm{sg}(X) \leqslant \mathrm{lg}(X) = |\lambda(X)|$.

2.3 p-Core towers

We define p-core towers and state their properties. See [8] for details. Let X be a position in Welter's game. Let L be a non-negative integer.

For each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L$, define

$$X_R = \{\, x_{\geqslant L} : x \in X, \quad x_{<L} = R \,\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The position X is uniquely determined by $(X_R)_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L}$. Indeed, let

$$[X_R]_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L} = \left\{\, (R, x) : R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L, \quad x \in X_R \,\right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $(R, x) = (R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_{L-1}, x_0, x_1, \ldots)$. Then $X = [X_R]_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L}$. With this notation, we define the *p-core* $X_{(p)}$ of X by

$$X_{(p)} = [\{\, 0, 1, \ldots, |X_r| - 1 \,\}]_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}. \tag{2.4}$$

By definition, $\lambda(X_{(p)})$ is the partition obtained by removing all p-hooks from $\lambda(X)$. The sequence $((X_R)_{(p)})_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L}$ is called *the Lth row of the p-core tower* of X. We define

$$\tau_L(X) = \sum_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L} \left|\lambda\left((X_R)_{(p)}\right)\right|. \tag{2.5}$$

For example, if $x \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tau_L(\{\, x \,\}) = x_L$. Let $\tau(X)$ denote the sequence whose Lth term is $\tau_L(X)$.

Recall that there exists a canonical bijection between the set of all p-hooks in $\lambda(X)$ and the set of all hooks in $\lambda(X_0), \ldots, \lambda(X_{p-1})$. Let $\eta_L(X)$ be the number of p^L-hooks in $\lambda(X)$ and $\eta(X)$ the sequence whose Lth term is $\eta_L(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_L(X) = \sum_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L} \eta_0(X_R) &= \sum_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L} \left(\sum_{x\in X_R} x - (0 + 1 + \cdots + (|X_R| - 1)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{x\in X} x_{\geqslant L} - \sum_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L} \binom{|X_R|}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Furthermore, $\tau(X)$ satisfies the following properties:

$$\tau_L(X) = \eta_L(X) - p\eta_{L+1}(X), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\sum_{L\in\mathbb{N}} \tau_L(X)p^L = |\lambda(X)|\,, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\tau_{\geqslant L}(X) = \sum_{R\in\mathbb{Z}_p^L} \tau(X_R), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\tau_{\geqslant L}(X) = (\tau_L(X), \tau_{L+1}(X), \ldots)$. Note that by (2.7), $\overline{\tau}_L(X)$ is equal to the remainder of $\tau_L(X)$ divided by p. In particular, it follows from (2.8) that $\overline{\tau}(X) = |\lambda(X)|$ if and only if $\overline{\tau}(X) = \tau(X)$, that is, $\overline{\tau}_L(X) = \tau_L(X)$ for each $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

3 p-Saturations

We present the basic properties of p-saturations of Nim and Welter's game.

3.1 p-Saturations of Nim

Let X be a position $(x^1, \cdots, x^m)$ in a p-saturation of $\mathcal{N}^m$. We show that $\mathrm{sg}(X) = x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m$ and $\mathrm{sat}_p(\mathcal{N}^m) = \min(p, m+1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a position $(x^1, \ldots, x^m)$ in $\mathcal{N}^m_{p,k}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(1) *Let Y be a proper descendant $(y^1, \ldots, y^m)$ of X such that* $\mathrm{dist}(X, Y) < k$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{ord}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m x^i - \bigoplus_{i=1}^m y^i\right) \geqslant \min\{\,\mathrm{ord}(x^i - y^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\,\}$$

with equality if and only if Y is an option of X.

(2) *Suppose that $k \geqslant \min(p, m+1)$. For $0 \leqslant h < x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m$, the position X has an option $(y^1, \ldots, y^m)$ with $y^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y^m = h$. In particular,* $\mathrm{sg}(X) = x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m$.

proof. (1) Let $N = \min\{\,\mathrm{ord}(x^i - y^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\,\}$. Then $\mathrm{ord}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m x^i - \bigoplus_{i=1}^m y^i) \geqslant N$ and

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m x^i - \bigoplus_{i=1}^m y^i\right)_N = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x^i - \sum_{i=1}^m y^i\right)_N.$$

Therefore Y is an option of X if and only if $\mathrm{ord}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m x^i - \bigoplus_{i=1}^m y^i) = N$.

(2) Let $n = x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m$ and $N = \max\{\, L \in \mathbb{N} : n_L \neq h_L \,\}$. Since $h < n$, it follows that $h_N < n_N = x^1_N + \cdots + x^m_N$. Thus there exist $r^1, \ldots, r^m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m r^i = h_N \quad \text{and} \quad r^i \leqslant x^i_N \quad \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m.$$

We may assume that $r^1 < x^1_N$. Since $n_N \leqslant p-1$, we may also assume that

$$\mathrm{dist}\left((r^1, \ldots, r^m), (x^1_N, \ldots, x^m_N)\right) < k.$$

Let

$$y^1 = \left(x_0^1 - n_0 + h_0, \ldots, x_{N-1}^1 - n_{N-1} + h_{N-1}, r^1, x_{N+1}^1, x_{N+2}^1, \ldots\right)_p,$$

$$y^i = \left(x_0^i, \ldots, x_{N-1}^i, r^i, x_{N+1}^i, x_{N+2}^i \ldots\right)_p \qquad \text{for } 2 \leqslant i \leqslant m,$$

and $Y = (y^1, \ldots, y^m)$. Then Y is a proper descendant of X and $y^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y^m = h$. Since $\mathrm{dist}(X, Y) < k$ and

$$\mathrm{ord}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} x^i - \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} y^i\right) = \mathrm{ord}(x^1 - y^1) = \min\left\{\, \mathrm{ord}(x^i - y^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \,\right\},$$

the position Y is an option of X by (1).

Since X has no option $(z^1, \ldots, z^m)$ with $z^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus z^m = x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m$ by (1), we obtain $\mathrm{sg}(X) = x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m$.

□

It remains to show that $\mathrm{sat}_p(\mathcal{N}^m) = \min(p, m+1)$. Let $k = \min(p, m+1)$. If $m = 0$, then it is clear. Suppose that $m \geqslant 1$. Then $k \geqslant 2$. Let

$$X = (\underbrace{p, \ldots, p}_{k-1}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^m.$$

The position X has no option $(y^1, \ldots, y^m)$ with $y^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y^m = 0$ in $\mathcal{N}_{p,k-1}^m$. Thus $\mathrm{sat}_p(\mathcal{N}^m) = k$ by Lemma 3.1.

3.2 p-Saturations of Welter's game

Let X be a position in a p-saturation of $\mathcal{W}^m$. We define

$$\mathrm{msg}(X) = \max\left\{\, \mathrm{sg}(Y) : Y \text{ is a descendant of } X \text{ with } \mathrm{sg}(Y) = |\lambda(Y)| \,\right\}.$$

We give lower bounds for $\mathrm{msg}(X)$ and $\mathrm{sat}_p(\mathcal{W}^m)$. We also show that the right-hand sides of (1.3) are equal.

The next lemma provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a descendant to be an option in the tuple representation.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a position $(x^1, \ldots, x^m)$ in $\mathcal{W}_{p,k}^m$ and Y its proper descendant $(y^1, \ldots, y^m)$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(X, Y) < k$. Then*

$$\mathrm{ord}(\overline{\tau}(X) - \overline{\tau}(Y)) \geqslant \min\left\{\, \mathrm{ord}(x^i - y^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \,\right\}$$

with equality if and only if Y is an option of X.

proof. Let $N = \min\{\operatorname{ord}(x^i - y^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\}$. Then $|X_R| = |Y_R|$ for each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N$. Thus $\tau_{<N}(X) = \tau_{<N}(Y)$, where $\tau_{<N}(X) = (\tau_0(X), \tau_1(X), \dots, \tau_{N-1}(X))$. This shows that $\operatorname{ord}(\overline{\tau}(X) - \overline{\tau}(Y)) \geqslant N$. By (2.6), we have

$$\eta_N(X) - \eta_N(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x^i_{\geqslant N} - y^i_{\geqslant N} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} p^N x^i_{\geqslant N} - p^N y^i_{\geqslant N}\right)_{\geqslant N} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x^i - y^i\right)_{\geqslant N},$$

and hence

$$\overline{\tau}_N(X) - \overline{\tau}_N(Y) \equiv \eta_N(X) - \eta_N(Y) \equiv \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x^i - y^i\right)_N \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore $\operatorname{ord}(\overline{\tau}(X) - \overline{\tau}(Y)) = N$ if and only if Y is an option of X.

□

We now show that $\operatorname{sat}_p(\mathcal{W}^m) \geqslant \min(p, m+1)$. If $m = 0$, then it is clear. Suppose that $m \geqslant 1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$X^{[n]} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \cup \{x + n : x \in X\}. \tag{3.1}$$

By definition, $\lambda(X^{[n]}) = \lambda(X)$, and so the two sets X and $X^{[n]}$ represent essentially the same position. Let $k = \min(p, m+1)$ and $X = \{p, p+1, \dots, p+k-2\}^{[m-k+1]}$. Then $k \geqslant 2$ and $\overline{\tau}(X) = p(k-1)$, but Lemma 3.2 implies that X has no option Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) = 0$ in $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,k-1}$. Hence $\operatorname{sat}_p(\mathcal{W}^m) \geqslant k$ by Theorem 1.1.

We next turn to $\operatorname{msg}(X)$. Theorem 1.1 asserts that $\operatorname{msg}(X) \geqslant \operatorname{sg}(X)$. The following result improves this bound.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a position in a p-saturation of Welter's game. If $\tau_N(X) \geqslant p+1$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\operatorname{msg}(X) \geqslant (\underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{N+1}, \overline{\tau}_{N+1}(X), \overline{\tau}_{N+2}(X), \dots)_p. \tag{3.2}$$

We postpone the proof of this result to Section 4.

Let X be a position in a p-saturation of $\mathcal{W}^m$ such that $\tau_N(X) = p$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then X does not necessarily satisfy (3.2). For example, let $p = 3$, $X = \{3, 7\}$, and $Y = \{3, 4, 5\}$. Then $\tau(X) = \tau(Y) = (0, 3, 0, \dots)$. However, $\operatorname{msg}(X) = (2, 2, 0, \dots)$ and $\operatorname{msg}(Y) = (0, 2, 0, \dots)$. This is because X has a p^0-option (see the next section), while Y does not. A sufficient condition for a position to have a p^0-option is given in Lemma 4.7 below.

Let $X = \{x^1, \dots, x^m\}$. We close this section by proving

$$\overline{\tau}(X) = x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{i<j} \mathfrak{N}_p(x^i - x^j)\right) = \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\lambda(X)} \mathfrak{N}_p\left(\left|H_{i,j}(X)\right|\right).$$

We may assume that $x^1 > \cdots > x^m$. Since $\mathfrak{N}_p(x) = x \ominus (x-1) = \sum_{L=0}^{\mathrm{ord}(x)} p^L$, it follows that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\lambda(X)} \mathfrak{N}_p\left(\left|H_{i,j}(X)\right|\right) \right)_L \equiv \eta_L(X) \pmod{p}.$$

Hence $\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\lambda(X)} \mathfrak{N}_p(|H_{i,j}(X)|) = \overline{\tau}(X)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\lambda(X)} \mathfrak{N}_p\left(\left|H_{i,j}(X)\right|\right) &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \left(\bigoplus_{0\leqslant y<x^i,\ y\notin X} \mathfrak{N}_p(x^i - y) \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \left(\bigoplus_{0\leqslant y<x^i} \mathfrak{N}_p(x^i - y) \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{i<j} \mathfrak{N}_p(x^i - x^j) \right) \right) \\
&= x^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x^m \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{i<j} \mathfrak{N}_p(x^i - x^j) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

4 Proof of the main results

We reduce Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.3 to three technical lemmas. In the rest of the paper, we call a position in $\mathcal{W}^m_{p,m+1}$ simply a position.

4.1 Sprague-Grundy numbers

Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from the next result.

Theorem 1.1′. *Let X be a position. Then the following assertions hold:*

(A1) *If $\overline{\tau}(X) = |\lambda(X)| > 0$, then X has a descendant Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$.*

(A2) *If $\overline{\tau}(X) < |\lambda(X)|$, then X has a proper descendant Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) \geqslant \overline{\tau}(X)$.*

In particular, $\mathrm{sg}(X) = \overline{\tau}(X)$.

Let X be a position. We prove Theorem 1.1′ by induction on $|\lambda(X)|$. If $|\lambda(X)| = 0$, then it is clear. Suppose that $|\lambda(X)| > 0$.

The assertions (A1) and (A2) are proven in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3, respectively. In this subsection, we show how (A1) and (A2) imply that $\mathrm{sg}(X) = \overline{\tau}(X)$. Since X has no option Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X)$ by Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show that

(SG) if $0 \leqslant h < \overline{\tau}(X)$, then X has an option Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) = h$.

The following lemma provides a sufficient condition for a position to satisfy (SG).

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a position with $\overline{\tau}(X) > 0$. Assume that $\mathrm{sg}(Z) = \overline{\tau}(Z)$ for each position Z with $|\lambda(Z)| < |\lambda(X)|$. If X has a descendant Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$, then X satisfies (SG).*

We postpone the proof of this lemma to the end of this section.

Let X be a position with $\overline{\tau}(X) > 0$. Our task now is to show that X has a descendant Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$ assuming (A1) and (A2). If $\overline{\tau}(X) = |\lambda(X)|$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\overline{\tau}(X) < |\lambda(X)|$. By (A2), the position X has a proper descendant Z with $\overline{\tau}(Z) \geqslant \overline{\tau}(X)$. By the induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{sg}(Z) = \overline{\tau}(Z) > \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$, and so Z has an option Y with $\mathrm{sg}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$. This completes the proof.

Remark 4.2. Suppose that p is a prime. Then (A1) follows from Macdonald's result [6] and the hook-length formula. Indeed, let X be a position with $\overline{\tau}(X) = |\lambda(X)| > 0$, and let $\deg(\rho^X)$ be the degree of ρ^X. By the hook-length formula,

$$\deg(\rho^X) = \sum_Y \deg(\rho^Y),$$

where the sum is over all descendants Y of X with $|\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1$. Since $\overline{\tau}(X) = |\lambda(X)|$ and p is a prime, $\deg(\rho^X) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ by Macdonald's result, and so $\deg(\rho^Y) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for some descendant Y of X with $|\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1$. Thus $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$.

4.2 p^H-Options

We show (A1) at the end of this subsection using p^H-options.

To define p^H-options, we first introduce a total order. Let $(\alpha_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\beta_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two non-negative integer sequences with finitely many nonzero terms. Suppose that $(\alpha_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}} \neq (\beta_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $N = \max\{ L \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha_L \neq \beta_L \}$. If $\alpha_N < \beta_N$, then we write

$$(\alpha_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}} \prec (\beta_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}}. \tag{4.1}$$

We next define the (p-adic) order of a position. For a non-terminal position X (that is, X has an option), the *order* $\mathrm{ord}(X)$ of X is defined by

$$\mathrm{ord}(X) = \min\{ L \in \mathbb{N} : \tau_L(X) \neq 0 \}. \tag{4.2}$$

If X is a terminal position, then we define $\mathrm{ord}(X) = \infty$. For example, $\mathrm{ord}(\{ x \}) = \mathrm{ord}(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4.3 (p^H-options and p^*-options)**.** Let X be a position with order M and Y its option $X \cup \{ x - p^H \} \setminus \{ x \}$. The position Y is called a *p^H-option* of X if it has the following two properties:

1. $\tau_L(Y) \equiv \tau_L(X) - 1 \pmod{p}$ for $H \leqslant L \leqslant M$.
2. $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$.

A p^H-option of X is called a *p^*-option* of X if $H = M$.

Lemma 4.4. *Every non-terminal position has a p^*-option.*

The proof of this lemma is deferred to Section 5.

The next lemma is used to determine $\tau(X)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a position. Then $\tau(X) \preceq |\lambda(X)|$ $(= (|\lambda(X)|_0^{(p)}, |\lambda(X)|_1^{(p)}, \ldots))$. In particular, if $\tau_{\geqslant N}(X) \geq |\lambda(X)|_{\geqslant N}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tau_{\geqslant N}(X) = |\lambda(X)|_{\geqslant N}$.*

proof. If $\tau(X) > |\lambda(X)|$, then $\sum_{L\in\mathbb{N}} \tau_L(X)p^L > |\lambda(X)|$, which contradicts (2.8). □

We now prove (A1) for $\mathrm{ord}(X) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{ord}(X) = 0$, Lemma 4.4 implies that X has a p^0-option Y. It suffices to show that $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$. By the definition of p^0-options, $|\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1$. Since $\tau(X) = \overline{\tau}(X)$, we have $0 \neq \tau_0(X) = \overline{\tau}_0(X) = |\lambda(X)|_0$. This shows that

$$|\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1 = (\tau_0(X) - 1, \tau_1(X), \tau_2(X), \ldots).$$

Since $\tau_{\geqslant 1}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant 1}(X) = |\lambda(Y)|_{\geqslant 1}$, it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\tau_{\geqslant 1}(Y) = |\lambda(Y)|_{\geqslant 1}$, and therefore $\overline{\tau}(Y) = |\lambda(Y)| = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$.

To prove (A1) for $\mathrm{ord}(X) > 0$, we need p^0-options. While every non-terminal position has a p^*-option, it does not necessarily have a p^0-option. To state a sufficient condition for a position to have a p^0-option, we introduce an equivalence relation on positions. Let X and X' be two positions, and let N be a non-negative integer. We write

$$X \equiv X' \pmod{p^N} \tag{4.3}$$

if $|X_R| = |X'_R|$ for every $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N$. For example, if $x, x' \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x\} \equiv \{x'\} \pmod{p^N}$ if and only if $x \equiv x' \pmod{p^N}$. By definition, this relation has the following properties.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that X and X' are two positions satisfying $X \equiv X' \pmod{p^N}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $X \equiv X' \pmod{p^L}$ for $0 \leqslant L \leqslant N$, and $\tau_{<N}(X) = \tau_{<N}(X')$.*

The following lemma gives a sufficient condition for a position to have a p^0-option.

Lemma 4.7. *Let X be a non-terminal position with order M. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that the size of $X^{[n]}$ defined in (3.1) is a multiple of p^M. If*

(P0) $\quad (X^{[n]})_{s-1} \not\equiv (X^{[n]})_s \pmod{p^M}$ *for some* $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

then X has a p^0-option.

We postpone the proof of this lemma to Section 5.

We show that the condition (P0) is independent of the choice of n, that is, $(X^{[n]})_{s-1} \not\equiv (X^{[n]})_s \pmod{p^M}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ if and only if $(X^{[n+p^M]})_{t-1} \not\equiv (X^{[n+p^M]})_t \pmod{p^M}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We may assume that $n = 0$. Since every position with order 0 satisfies (P0), we may also assume that $M > 0$. Let $\delta_x = 1$ if $x = 0$, and $\delta_x = 0$ otherwise. We have $|(X^{[p^M]})_{R\oplus p^M}| = |X_R| + \delta_{(R_M)+1}$ for each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{M+1}$ because $(x + p^M)_{<M+1} = x_{<M+1} \oplus p^M$ for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $(R \ominus 1)_M = R_M$ since $M > 0$. This implies that

$$\left|(X^{[p^M]})_{R\oplus p^M}\right| - \left|(X^{[p^M]})_{R\oplus p^M\ominus 1}\right| = \left|X_R\right| - \left|X_{R\ominus 1}\right|.$$

Therefore (P0) is independent of the choice of n.

proof of (A1) assuming Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7. Let $M = \mathrm{ord}(X)$. We have shown (A1) for $M = 0$. Suppose that $M > 0$. We may assume that $|X| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^M}$.

We show that X satisfies (P0). Assume that X does not satisfy (P0). Then by Lemma 4.6, $\tau_{M-1}(X_{r-1}) = \tau_{M-1}(X_r)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus

$$0 \neq \tau_M(X) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \tau_{M-1}(X_r) = p\tau_{M-1}(X_0) \geqslant p,$$

which contradicts $\tau(X) = \overline{\tau}(X)$. Hence X satisfies (P0).

The position X has a p^0-option Y by Lemma 4.7. Since

$$|\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1 = (\underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{M}, \tau_M(X) - 1, \tau_{M+1}(X), \tau_{M+2}(X), \dots)$$

and $\tau_L(Y) \equiv \tau_L(X) - 1 \equiv |\lambda(Y)|_L \pmod{p}$ for $0 \leqslant L \leqslant M$, it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\overline{\tau}(Y) = |\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1 = \overline{\tau}(X) - 1$.

□

4.3 Peak digits

It remains to prove (A2). The idea of its proof is to focus on peak digits. To define peak digits, we introduce p^*-descendants.

Definition 4.8 (p^*-paths and p^*-descendants)**.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(X^0, X^1, \dots, X^n)$ be a position sequence. If X^{i+1} is a p^*-option of X^i for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, then this sequence is called a *p^*-path* from X^0 to X^n, and X^n is called a *p^*-descendant* of X^0.

Definition 4.9 (peak digits)**.** Let X be a position. The *peak digit* $\mathrm{pk}(X)$ of X is defined by

$$\mathrm{pk}(X) = \max\{\, \mathscr{L}(\tau(X), \tau(Y)) : Y \text{ is a } p^*\text{-descendant of } X \text{ with } \tau(Y) > \tau(X) \,\},$$

where $\max \varnothing = -1$ and

$$\mathscr{L}(\tau(X), \tau(Y)) = \max\{\, L \in \mathbb{N} : \tau_L(X) \neq \tau_L(Y) \,\}.$$

It follows from (2.8) that if $\mathrm{pk}(X) > -1$, then $\mathrm{pk}(X) > \mathrm{ord}(X) \geqslant 0$. Peak digits also have the following properties.

Lemma 4.10. *If Y is a p^*-option of a position X, then the following assertions hold:*

(1) $\tau_{\geqslant N}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(X)$, *where* $N = \max\{\, \mathrm{pk}(X), \mathrm{ord}(X) \,\} + 1$.

(2) $\mathrm{pk}(Y) \leqslant \mathrm{pk}(X)$.

proof. (1) Since Y is a p^*-option of X, it follows that $\tau_{\geqslant(\mathrm{ord}(X)+1)}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant(\mathrm{ord}(X)+1)}(X)$. In addition, by the definition of peak digits, $\tau_{\geqslant(\mathrm{pk}(X)+1)}(Y) \preceq \tau_{\geqslant(\mathrm{pk}(X)+1)}(X)$. Thus $\tau_{\geqslant N}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(X)$.

(2) Let $K = \mathrm{pk}(Y)$. If $K = -1$, then it is clear. Suppose that $K > -1$. Then Y has a p^*-descendant Z such that $\tau(Z) > \tau(Y)$ and $\mathscr{L}(\tau(Z), \tau(Y)) = K$. Since $K > \mathrm{ord}(Y) \geqslant \mathrm{ord}(X)$, it follows that

$$\tau_{\geqslant K}(Z) > \tau_{\geqslant K}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant K}(X).$$

This implies that $\tau(Z) > \tau(X)$ and $\mathscr{L}(\tau(Z), \tau(Y)) \geqslant K$. Since Z is also a p^*-descendant of X, we have $\mathrm{pk}(X) \geqslant K = \mathrm{pk}(Y)$.

□

Corollary 4.11. *Let n be a positive integer. If $(X^0, \ldots, X^n)$ is a p^*-path and N is at least $\max\{\mathrm{pk}(X^0), \mathrm{ord}(X^{n-1})\} + 1$, then $\tau_{\geqslant N}(X^i) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(X^0)$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.*

proof. For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, let $N^i = \max\{\mathrm{pk}(X^i), \mathrm{ord}(X^i)\} + 1$. It follows from Lemma 4.10 that $\tau_{\geqslant N^i}(X^{i+1}) = \tau_{\geqslant N^i}(X^i)$ and

$$\mathrm{pk}(X^0) \geqslant \mathrm{pk}(X^1) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \mathrm{pk}(X^{n-1}).$$

Since

$$\mathrm{ord}(X^0) \leqslant \mathrm{ord}(X^1) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \mathrm{ord}(X^{n-1}),$$

we have $N \geqslant N^i$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, and the corollary follows.

□

The following result is the key to proving Theorem 1.1′.

Lemma 4.12. *Let X be a position with peak digit K. If K is positive, then X has a descendant Y with the following four properties:*

1. $\mathrm{ord}(Y) = K$.
2. *Either* $\tau_K(X) < \tau_K(Y) < p$ *or* $\tau_K(Y) = p$.
3. $\tau_{\geqslant K+1}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant K+1}(X)$.
4. *Y satisfies (P0).*

The proof of this lemma is deferred to Section 6.
The next lemma determines $\mathrm{msg}(X)$ for certain positions X.

Lemma 4.13. *Let X be a position with the following three properties:*

1. $\tau_M(X) = p$, *where* $M = \mathrm{ord}(X)$.
2. $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X) = \overline{\tau}_{\geqslant M+1}(X) (= (\overline{\tau}_{M+1}(X), \overline{\tau}_{M+2}(X), \ldots))$.
3. *X satisfies (P0).*

Then X has a p^0-option Y with $\overline{\tau}(Y) = |\lambda(X)| - 1$. In particular, if $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \mathrm{sg}(Y)$, then $\mathrm{msg}(X) = |\lambda(X)| - 1$.

proof assuming Lemma 4.7. The position X has a p^0-option Y by Lemma 4.7. Since $|\lambda(X)| = p^{M+1} + \sum_{L \geqslant M+1} \tau_L(X) p^L$ and $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X) = \overline{\tau}_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$, we have

$$|\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1 = (\underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{M+1}, \tau_{M+1}(X), \tau_{M+2}(X), \dots).$$

Thus $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) = |\lambda(Y)|_{\geqslant M+1}$ by Lemma 4.5. Furthermore, since $\tau_L(Y) \equiv \tau_L(X) - 1 \equiv p-1 \pmod{p}$ for $0 \leqslant L \leqslant M$, we find that $\tau(Y) = |\lambda(Y)| = |\lambda(X)| - 1$.

□

proof of Theorem 1.1′ assuming Lemmas 4.1, 4.4, 4.7, and 4.12. It remains to show (A2). By the induction hypothesis, we may assume that $\mathrm{sg}(X_r) = \overline{\tau}(X_r) = |\lambda(X_r)|$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Since $\overline{\tau}(X) < |\lambda(X)|$, we see that $\tau_L(X) \geqslant p$ for some $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$N = \max \{ L \in \mathbb{N} : \tau_L(X) \geqslant p \}.$$

We divide into two cases.

Case 1: $N > 0$**.** Since $\overline{\tau}_N(X) < p \leqslant \tau_N(X) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \tau_{N-1}(X_r)$, there exist $s^0, \dots s^{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p} s^r = \overline{\tau}_N(X)$ and $s^r \leqslant \tau_{N-1}(X_r) = \overline{\tau}_{N-1}(X_r)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $\mathrm{sg}(X_r) = \overline{\tau}(X_r)$, the position X_r has a descendant Y_r such that

$$\tau_L(Y_r) = \begin{cases} s^r & \text{if } L = N-1, \\ \tau_L(X_r) & \text{if } L \neq N-1. \end{cases}$$

Let $Y = [Y_r]_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p}$ defined in (2.3). Then $\overline{\tau}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(X)$ and $Y \neq X$.

Case 2: $N = 0$**.** Let K be the peak digit of X.

Suppose that $K = -1$. By Lemma 4.10, every p^*-option Y of X satisfies $\tau(Y) = (\tau_0(X) - 1, \tau_1(X), \tau_2(X), \dots)$. This implies that X has a desired descendant.

Suppose that $K > -1$. Then X has a descendant Y satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4.12. If $\tau_K(Y) < p$, then $\overline{\tau}(Y) > \overline{\tau}(X)$. Suppose that $\tau_K(Y) = p$. Since Y satisfies (P0), it follows from Lemma 4.13 that

$$\mathrm{msg}(Y) = |\lambda(Y)| - 1 = (\underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{K+1}, \tau_{K+1}(X), \tau_{K+2}(X), \dots) \geqslant \overline{\tau}(X).$$

□

4.4 Proof of Proposition 3.3

Before proving Proposition 3.3, we present an elementary property of p^*-options.

Lemma 4.14. *Let X be a position whose order M is positive. Choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ so that $\mathrm{ord}(X_s) = M - 1$. Let Y_s be a p^*-option of X_s, and let $Y_r = X_r$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{ s \}$. Then $[Y_r]_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a p^*-option of X.*

proof. Let $Y = [Y_r]_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Since Y_s is a $p^{(M-1)}$-option of X_s, we have

$$\tau_M(Y) = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} \tau_{M-1}(Y_r) \equiv \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} \tau_{M-1}(X_r) - 1 \equiv \tau_M(X) - 1 \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} \tau_{\geqslant M}(Y_r) \geq \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} \tau_{\geqslant M}(X_r) = \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X).$$

□

We now proceed to prove Proposition 3.3.

Lemma 4.15. *Let X be a position with order M. If $\mathrm{pk}(X) = -1$ and $\tau_M(X) \geqslant p+1$, then X has a p^*-descendant Y with the following three properties:*

1. $\tau_M(Y) = p$.
2. $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$.
3. *Y satisfies (P0).*

proof assuming Lemma 4.4. Suppose that $M = 0$. Since $\mathrm{pk}(X) = -1$, we see that X has a p^*-descendant Y with $\tau_0(Y) = p$ and $\tau_{\geqslant 1}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant 1}(X)$. The position Y also satisfies (P0), since otherwise $\tau_0(Y) = 0$.

Suppose that $M > 0$. For each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $a^r = \tau_{M-1}(X_r)$. We show that there exists $(b^0, \ldots, b^{p-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ such that

1. $\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} b^r = p$,
2. $b^r \leqslant a^r$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,
3. $b^s \neq b^t$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

We may assume that $\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} a^r = p+1$ and $a^0 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant a^{p-1}$. Let

$$(b^0, \ldots, b^{p-1}) = \begin{cases} (a^0 - 1, a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^{p-1}) & \text{if } a^1 = 0, \\ (a^0, a^1 - 1, a^2, \ldots, a^{p-1}) & \text{if } a^1 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $(b^0, \ldots, b^{p-1})$ has the desired properties.

Since $\mathrm{pk}(X) = -1$, it follows from Lemma 4.14 that X_r has a p^*-descendant Y_r such that $\tau_{M-1}(Y_r) = b^r$ and $\tau_{\geqslant M}(Y_r) = \tau_{\geqslant M}(X_r)$. Let $Y = [Y_r]_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Then Y is a p^*-descendant of X such that $\tau_M(Y) = p$ and $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$. Moreover, since $b^s \neq b^t$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, Lemma 4.6 implies that Y also satisfies (P0). □

proof of Proposition 3.3 assuming Lemmas 4.1, 4.4, 4.7, and 4.12. Applying Theorem 1.1 to X_R for each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{N+1}$, we find that X has a descendant Y such that

$$\tau_{\leqslant N}(Y) = \tau_{\leqslant N}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{\geqslant N+1}(Y) = \overline{\tau}_{\geqslant N+1}(X).$$

Let

$$g = (\underbrace{p-1,\dots,p-1}_{N+1}, \overline{\tau}_{N+1}(X), \overline{\tau}_{N+2}(X), \dots)_p.$$

Our goal is to find a descendant Z of Y with $\mathrm{msg}(Z) \geqslant g$. Let K be the peak digit of Y. We split into two cases.

Case 1: $K \geqslant N$**.** The position Y has a descendant Z satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4.12. If $\tau_K(Y) < \tau_K(Z) < p$, then $\mathrm{msg}(Z) \geqslant \overline{\tau}(Z) > g$. If $\tau_K(Z) = p$, then $\mathrm{msg}(Z) \geqslant g$ by Lemma 4.13.

Case 2: $K < N$**.** Let $Y^0 = Y$. By repeatedly applying Lemma 4.4, we obtain a p^*-path $(Y^0, \dots, Y^n)$ such that

(R1) $\mathrm{ord}(Y^n) \geqslant N$,

(R2) $\mathrm{ord}(Y^h) < N$ for $0 \leqslant h < n$.

Corollary 4.11 yields $\tau_{\geqslant N}(Y^n) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(Y)$. Since $\mathrm{pk}(Y^n) = -1$ and $\tau_N(Y^n) = \tau_N(Y) \geqslant p+1$, it follows from Lemmas 4.15 and 4.13 that $\mathrm{msg}(Y^n) = g$.

□

Let X^0 be a position and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. As we have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.3, there exists a p^*-path $(X^0, \dots, X^n)$ satisfying (R1) and (R2). We call X^n an $(N-1)$-*rounded descendant* of X^0.

4.5 Proof of Lemma 4.1

proof. Let $n = \overline{\tau}(X)$. We divide into two cases.

Case 1: $n \not\equiv h \pmod p$**.** Since $\mathrm{ord}(\overline{\tau}(X) - \overline{\tau}(Y)) = \mathrm{ord}(1) = 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that Y is an option of X. Suppose that $h < n-1$. By assumption, $\mathrm{sg}(Y) = \overline{\tau}(Y)$, and hence Y has an option Z with $\mathrm{sg}(Z) = \overline{\tau}(Z) = h$. Since $\mathrm{ord}(n-h) = 0$, the position Z is also an option of X by Lemma 3.2.

Case 2: $n \equiv h \pmod p$**.** We first construct a descendant Z of X with $\overline{\tau}(Z) = h$. Let $N = \mathrm{ord}(n-h)$. Since $n \equiv h \pmod p$ and $n > h$, it follows that $N > 0$ and $n_{\geqslant 1} > h_{\geqslant 1}$. Let $a^r = \overline{\tau}(X_r)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $a^0 \oplus \cdots \oplus a^{p-1} = n_{\geqslant 1} > h_{\geqslant 1}$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists $(b^0, \dots, b^{p-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ such that

1. $\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} b^r = h_{\geqslant 1}$,
2. $b^r \leqslant a^r$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,
3. $N - 1 = \mathrm{ord}(\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} a^r - \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} b^r) = \min\{\, \mathrm{ord}(a^r - b^r) : r \in \mathbb{Z}_p \,\}$.

Since $|\lambda(X_r)| < |\lambda(X)|$, we have $\mathrm{sg}(X_r) = \overline{\tau}(X_r) = a^r \geqslant b^r$. If $b^r < a^r$, then let Z_r be an option of X_r with $\mathrm{sg}(Z_r) = \overline{\tau}(Z_r) = b^r$. If $b^r = a^r$, then let $Z_r = X_r$. Let $Z = [Z_r]_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Then $\overline{\tau}(Z) = h$.

We next show that Z is an option of X. To this end, we use the tuple representation. Let $X = (x^1, \dots, x^m), X_r = (x^{r,1}, \dots x^{r,m^r}), Z = (z^1, \dots, z^m)$, and $Z_r = (z^{r,1}, \dots, z^{r,m^r})$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since Z_r is an option of X_r when $b^r < a^r$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$N - 1 = \min\left\{ \operatorname{ord}(a^r - b^r) : r \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\} = \min\left\{ \operatorname{ord}(x^{r,i} - z^{r,i}) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m^r,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\},$$

and so $\min\{ \operatorname{ord}(x^i - z^i) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \} = N = \operatorname{ord}(n - h)$. Therefore Z is an option of X by Lemma 3.2.

□

5 p^H-Options

In this section, we show Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7.

5.1 Proof of Lemma 4.4.

We first give a sufficient condition for an option to be a p^*-option.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a position with order M and Y its option $X \cup \{ x - p^H \} \setminus \{ x \}$. Then*

$$\eta_L(Y) - \eta_L(X) = \begin{cases} -p^{H-L} & \text{if } L \leqslant H, \\ |X_{x_{<L}}| - |X_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| - \delta_{x_H}\delta_{x_{H+1}} \cdots \delta_{x_{L-1}} - 1 & \text{if } L \geqslant H+1. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

In particular, if $H = M$ and

$$\left|X_{(x-p^M)_{<L}}\right| + \delta_{x_M}\delta_{x_{M+1}} \cdots \delta_{x_{L-1}} < \left|X_{x_{<L}}\right| \quad \text{for every } L \geqslant M+1, \tag{5.2}$$

then Y is a p^*-option of X.

proof. Let $Z = X \setminus \{ x \}$. By (2.6), we have

$$\eta_L(X) - \eta_L(Z) = \sum_{R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L} \eta_0(X_R) - \eta_0(Z_R) = \eta_0(X_{x_{<L}}) - \eta_0(Z_{x_{<L}}) = x_{\geqslant L} - (|X_{x_{<L}}| - 1).$$

Since $Z = Y \setminus \{ x - p^H \}$, we also have $\eta_L(Y) - \eta_L(Z) = (x - p^H)_{\geqslant L} - (|Y_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| - 1)$. Thus

$$\eta_L(Y) - \eta_L(X) = |X_{x_{<L}}| - |Y_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| + (x - p^H)_{\geqslant L} - x_{\geqslant L}.$$

If $L \leqslant H$, then $x_{<L} = (x - p^H)_{<L}$, and so $\eta_L(Y) - \eta_L(X) = -p^{H-L}$. Suppose that $L \geqslant H+1$. Then $|Y_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| = |X_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| + 1$ and $(x - p^H)_{\geqslant L} - x_{\geqslant L} = -\delta_{x_H}\delta_{x_{H+1}} \cdots \delta_{x_{L-1}}$, which gives (5.1).

Suppose that $H = M$ and X satisfies (5.2). Then $\eta_L(Y) - \eta_L(X) \geqslant 0$ for every $L \geqslant M+1$. This shows that $\eta_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) \geq \eta_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$, and so $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$. Since $\tau_M(Y) \equiv \tau_M(X) - 1 \pmod{p}$, the position Y is a p^*-option of X.

□

The following result provides a sufficient condition for a position to have a p^*-option.

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a position with order M. Let H and N be non-negative integers with $H \leqslant M \leqslant N-1$. Suppose that there exists $S \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N$ such that*

$$\left|X_{S-p^H}\right| + \delta_{S_H}\delta_{S_{H+1}}\cdots\delta_{S_{N-1}} < \left|X_S\right|. \tag{5.3}$$

Then X has an option Y such that $\tau_{\geqslant N}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant N}(X)$ and $Y = X \cup \{ x - p^H \} \setminus \{ x \}$ for some $x \in X$ with $x_{<N} = S$. In particular, if $H = M = N-1$, then Y is a p^*-option of X.

proof. We first construct x satisfying $|X_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| + \delta_{x_H}\delta_{x_{H+1}}\cdots\delta_{x_{L-1}} < |X_{x_{<L}}|$ for every $L \geqslant N$. Let $\delta = \delta_{S_H}\delta_{S_{H+1}}\cdots\delta_{S_{N-1}}$. Recall that $(S,r) = (S_0,\ldots,S_{N-1},r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{N+1}$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $|X_S| = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}|X_{(S,r)}|$ and $\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}|X_{(S,r)-p^H}| = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}|X_{(S-p^H,r)}|$, we have

$$\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}\left|X_{(S,r)-p^H}\right| + \delta = \left|X_{S-p^H}\right| + \delta < \left|X_S\right| = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p}\left|X_{(S,r)}\right|.$$

This implies that

$$\left|X_{(S,S_N)-p^H}\right| + \delta\delta_{S_N} < \left|X_{(S,S_N)}\right|$$

for some $S_N \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Continuing this process, we obtain $S_N, S_{N+1}, \ldots \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $x = (S_0, S_1, \ldots)$. Then $x_{<N} = S$ and $|X_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| + \delta_{x_H}\delta_{x_{H+1}}\cdots\delta_{x_{L-1}} < |X_{x_{<L}}|$ for every $L \geqslant N$.

Let $Y = X \cup \{ x - p^H \} \setminus \{ x \}$. We next show that Y is an option of X, that is, $x \in X$, $x - p^H \notin X$, and $x - p^H \geqslant 0$. Let $L = \min\{ L \in \mathbb{N} : x'_{\geqslant L} = 0 \text{ for every } x' \in X \}$. Then $|X_R| \in \{0,1\}$ for each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L$. Since $|X_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| + \delta_{x_H}\delta_{x_{H+1}}\cdots\delta_{x_{L-1}} < |X_{x_{<L}}|$, we have $|X_{(x-p^H)_{<L}}| + \delta_{x_H}\delta_{x_{H+1}}\cdots\delta_{x_{L-1}} = 0$ and $|X_{x_{<L}}| = 1$. This implies that Y is an option of X, and therefore $\tau_{\geqslant N}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant N}(X)$ by Lemma 5.1.

□

proof of Lemma 4.4. Let X be a non-terminal position with order M. By Lemma 4.14, we may assume that $M = 0$.

We show that $|X_{s-1}| + \delta_s < |X_s|$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We may assume that $|X_0| \geqslant |X_1| \geqslant \cdots \geqslant |X_{p-1}|$. If $|X_0| \in \{ |X_{p-1}|, |X_{p-1}| + 1 \}$, then $\tau_0(X) = 0$. This shows that $|X_{p-1}| + 1 < |X_0|$. Hence X has a p^0-option by Lemma 5.2.

□

5.2 Proof of Lemma 4.7

proof. If $M = 0$, then Lemma 4.4 shows that X has a p^0-option. Suppose that $M > 0$. We may assume that $|X| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^M}$.

Claim. There exists $T \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{M+1}$ such that $T_0 \neq 0$ and $|X_{T\ominus 1}| < |X_T|$.

Assuming this claim for the moment, we complete the proof.

Since $T_0 \neq 0$, we have $|X_{T\ominus 1}| = |X_{T-1}| + \delta_{T_0} \cdots \delta_{T_M}$. By Lemma 5.2, X has an option Y such that $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$ and $Y = X \cup \{ x-1 \} \setminus \{ x \}$ for some $x \in X$ with $x_{<M+1} = T$.

We show that Y is a p^0-option of X. Since $\mathrm{ord}(X) = M$ and $|X| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^M}$, it follows that $|X_R| = |X|/p^L$ when $0 \leqslant L \leqslant M$ and $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L$. By Lemma 5.1, $\tau_0(Y) - \tau_0(X) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_L(Y) - \tau_L(X) &\equiv |X_{x_{<L}}| - |X_{(x-1)_{<L}}| - \delta_{x_0} \cdots \delta_{x_{L-1}} - 1 \\ &\equiv |X|/p^L - |X|/p^L - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } 0 < L \leqslant M.\end{aligned}$$

Thus Y is a p^0-option of X.

It remains to prove the claim. Since X satisfies (P0), we find that $|X_{S\ominus 1}| \neq |X_S|$ for some $S \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{M+1}$. Hence there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $|X_{S\ominus s\ominus 1}| < |X_{S\ominus s}|$, since otherwise $|X_{S\ominus 1}| > |X_S| \geqslant |X_{S\oplus 1}| \geqslant \cdots \geqslant |X_{S\oplus(p-1)}| = |X_{S\ominus 1}|$.

Let $U = S \ominus s$ and $\widehat{U} = (U_1, \ldots, U_{M-1})$. We may assume that $U_0 = 0$. We show that there exist $T_0, T_M \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $T_0 \neq 0$ and

$$\left|X_{(T_0,\widehat{U},T_M)\ominus 1}\right| < \left|X_{(T_0,\widehat{U},T_M)}\right|, \tag{5.4}$$

where $(T_0, \widehat{U}, T_M) = (T_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{M-1}, T_M)$. Since $M > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} \left|X_{(U_0\ominus 1,\widehat{U},r)}\right| = \left|X_{(U_0\ominus 1,\widehat{U})}\right| = |X|/p^M = \left|X_{(U_0,\widehat{U})}\right| = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_p} \left|X_{(U_0,\widehat{U},r)}\right|.$$

Since $|X_{(U_0\ominus 1,\widehat{U},U_M)}| = |X_{U\ominus 1}| < |X_U| = |X_{(U_0,\widehat{U},U_M)}|$, we find that $|X_{(U_0\ominus 1,\widehat{U},T_M)}| > |X_{(U_0,\widehat{U},T_M)}|$ for some $T_M \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. As we have seen above, there exists $T_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $T_0 \neq U_0 = 0$ and (5.4) holds. This completes the proof.

□

5.3 p^*-Paths

It remains to prove Lemma 4.12. To this end, we introduce congruent p^*-paths.

Let $(X^0, \ldots, X^n)$ and $(\widetilde{X}^0, \ldots, \widetilde{X}^n)$ be two p^*-paths. Let N be a non-negative integer. These two paths said to be *congruent modulo* p^N if $X^i \equiv \widetilde{X}^i \pmod{p^N}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

We give a sufficient condition for these paths to be congruent modulo p^N. Let $X^{i+1} = X^i \cup \{ x^i - p^{M^i} \} \setminus \{ x^i \}$ and $\widetilde{X}^{i+1} = \widetilde{X}^i \cup \{ \tilde{x}^i - p^{\widetilde{M}^i} \} \setminus \{ \tilde{x}^i \}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Let $S^i = x^i_{<N}$ and $\widetilde{S}^i = \tilde{x}^i_{<N}$. If $S^i = S^i - p^{M^i}$, then $|X^{i+1}_R| = |X^i_R|$ for each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N$. If $S^i \neq S^i - p^{M^i}$, then

$$\left|X^{i+1}_R\right| = \left|X^i_R\right| + \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } R = S^i, \\ 1 & \text{if } R = S^i - p^{M^i}, \\ 0 & \text{if } R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N \setminus \left\{ S^i, S^i - p^{M^i} \right\}. \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

Thus those paths are congruent modulo p^N if the following two conditions hold:

1. $X^0 \equiv \widetilde{X}^0 \pmod{p^N}$,

2. $S^i = \widetilde{S}^i$ and $S^i - p^{M^i} = \widetilde{S}^i - p^{\widetilde{M}^i}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.

The next lemma gives a sufficient condition for the existence of a congruent p^*-path.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(X^0, \dots, X^n)$ be a p^*-path and $\widetilde{X}^0$ a position with $\widetilde{X}^0 \equiv X^0 \pmod{p^N}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\tau_{\geqslant N}(X^i) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(X^0)$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Then there exists a p^*-path $(\widetilde{X}^0, \dots, \widetilde{X}^n)$ congruent to $(X^0, \dots, X^n)$ modulo p^N such that $\operatorname{ord}(\widetilde{X}^i) = \operatorname{ord}(X^i)$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.*

proof. The proof is by induction on n. If $n = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $n > 0$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a p^*-path $(\widetilde{X}^0, \dots, \widetilde{X}^{n-1})$ congruent to $(X^0, \dots, X^{n-1})$ modulo p^N such that $\operatorname{ord}(\widetilde{X}^i) = \operatorname{ord}(X^i)$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2$. Let $X = X^{n-1}$, $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}^{n-1}$, and $Y = X^n = X \cup \{ x - p^M \} \setminus \{ x \}$.

We first show that $\operatorname{ord}(\widetilde{X}) = \operatorname{ord}(X) = M$. Since $\widetilde{X} \equiv X \pmod{p^N}$, it follows from Lemma 4.6 that $\tau_{<N}(\widetilde{X}) = \tau_{<N}(X)$. Moreover, since $\tau_{\geqslant N}(X) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(Y)$, we have $M < N$, and so $\operatorname{ord}(\widetilde{X}) = \operatorname{ord}(X) = M$.

We next construct a p^*-option $\widetilde{Y}$ of $\widetilde{X}$ with $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$. Since $\eta_N(Y) = \eta_N(X)$, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\widetilde{X}_{(x-p^M)_{<N}}\right| + \delta_{x_M} \cdots \delta_{x_{N-1}} + 1 &= \left|X_{(x-p^M)_{<N}}\right| + \delta_{x_M} \cdots \delta_{x_{N-1}} + 1 \\ &= \left|X_{x_{<N}}\right| = \left|\widetilde{X}_{x_{<N}}\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2 implies that $\widetilde{X}$ has an option $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $\tau_{\geqslant N}(\widetilde{Y}) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant N}(\widetilde{X})$ and $\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{X} \cup \{ \tilde{x} - p^M \} \setminus \{ \tilde{x} \}$ for some $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$ with $\tilde{x}_{<N} = x_{<N}$. Since $\widetilde{X} \equiv X \pmod{p^N}$, we have $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$. It remains to verify $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(\widetilde{Y}) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(\widetilde{X})$. Recall that

$$\tau_{\geqslant N}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(X), \quad \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X), \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{<N}(\widetilde{X}) = \tau_{<N}(X).$$

In addition, since $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$, it follows that $\tau_{<N}(\widetilde{Y}) = \tau_{<N}(Y)$. This shows that $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(\widetilde{Y}) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(\widetilde{X})$, and therefore $\widetilde{Y}$ is a p^*-option of $\widetilde{X}$.

□

6 Proof of Lemma 4.12

6.1 Outline

Let X be a position whose peak digit K is positive. Let Z be a p^*-descendant of X such that $\tau_K(Z) \succcurlyeq \tau_K(Y)$ for every p^*-descendant Y of X. Then Corollary 4.11 yields $\tau_{\geqslant K+1}(Z) = \tau_{\geqslant K+1}(X)$. As we have seen in Subsection 4.4, Z has a $(K-1)$-rounded descendant Y. The choice of Z implies that $K > \operatorname{pk}(Z) \geqslant \operatorname{pk}(Y)$. Thus $\operatorname{pk}(Y) = -1$ and $\tau_{\geqslant K}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant K}(Z)$. If $\tau_K(Y) < p$, then Y has the stated properties. If $\tau_K(Y) > p$, then

Y has a desired descendant by Lemma 4.15. Suppose that $\tau_K(Y) = p$. We may assume that $|X| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^K}$ and Y does not satisfy (P0).

Our goal is to find a $(K-1)$-rounded descendant $\widetilde{Y}$ of X satisfying $\tau_K(\widetilde{Y}) = p$ and (P0). Let $\widetilde{Y}$ be a $(K-1)$-rounded descendant of X with $\tau_K(\widetilde{Y}) = p$. The position $\widetilde{Y}$ satisfies (P0) if there does not exist $S \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K$ such that

$$\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_R) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R \in \{ S, S \ominus 1, \dots, S \ominus (p-1) \}, \\ 0 & \text{if } R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K \setminus \{ S, S \ominus 1, \dots, S \ominus (p-1) \}. \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

Indeed, suppose that $\widetilde{Y}$ does not satisfy (P0). Then $\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_{R \ominus 1}) = \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_R)$ for each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K$, and so there exists $S \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K$ satisfying (6.1).

Let $X^0 = X$ and $X^n = Y$. Let $(X^0, \dots, X^n)$ be a p^*-path from X^0 to X^n through Z, that is, $X^h = Z$ for some h with $0 < h \leqslant n$. We may assume that $\tau_K(X^{h-1}) < p$.

We divide into two cases.

Case 1: $\tau_0(X_S^j) \geqslant 2$ **for some** $j \in \{ h, h+1, \dots, n \}$ **and some** $S \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K$**.** We show that Z has a $(K-1)$-rounded descendant $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_T) \geqslant 2$ for some $T \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K$. In particular, $\widetilde{Y}$ satisfies (P0).

Case 2: $\tau_0(X_R^i) \leqslant 1$ **for each** $i \in \{ h, h+1, \dots, n \}$ **and each** $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K$**.** We show that X^{h-1} has another p^*-option $\widetilde{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{gathered} \big(\tau_0(\widetilde{Z}_S),\, \tau_0(\widetilde{Z}_T)\big) = \big(\tau_0(Z_T),\, \tau_0(Z_S)\big) = (0,1) \quad \text{for some } S, T \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K, \\ \tau_0(\widetilde{Z}_R) = \tau_0(Z_R) \quad \text{for each } R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K \setminus \{ S, T \}. \end{gathered}$$

Using Lemma 5.3, we then show that $\widetilde{Z}$ has a $(K-1)$-rounded descendant $\widetilde{Y}$ such that

$$\begin{gathered} \big(\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_U),\, \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_V)\big) = \big(\tau_0(Y_V),\, \tau_0(Y_U)\big) = (0,1) \quad \text{for some } U, V \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K, \\ \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_R) = \tau_0(Y_R) \quad \text{for each } R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K \setminus \{ U, V \}. \end{gathered}$$

In particular, $\widetilde{Y}$ satisfies (P0).

6.2 $(\tau_0(X_S), \tau_0(X_{S-p^M}))$ and $(\tau_0(Y_S), \tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}))$

Let X be a position and Y its p^*-option $X \cup \{ x - p^M \} \setminus \{ x \}$. Let $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S = x_{<L}$. For each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^L \setminus \{ S, S - p^M \}$, we have $X_R = Y_R$, and so $\tau_0(X_R) = \tau_0(Y_R)$. In this subsection, we examine $(\tau_0(X_S), \tau_0(X_{S-p^M}))$ and $(\tau_0(Y_S), \tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}))$.

We begin by presenting a lower bound for $\mathrm{pk}(X)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let X be a position with order M, and let N be an integer with $N \geqslant M+1$. If $|X_{S-p^M}| + \delta_{S_M} \delta_{S_{M+1}} \cdots \delta_{S_{N-1}} + 1 < |X_S|$ for some $S \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N$, then $N \leqslant \mathrm{pk}(X)$.*

proof. By Lemma 5.2, X has an option Y such that $\tau_{\geqslant N}(Y) \geq \tau_{\geqslant N}(X)$ and $Y = X \cup \{ x - p^M \} \setminus \{ x \}$ for some $x \in X$ with $x_{<N} = S$. Moreover, Lemma 5.1 implies that

$$\eta_N(Y) - \eta_N(X) = \left|X_{x_{<N}}\right| - \left|X_{(x-p^M)_{<N}}\right| - \delta_{x_M}\delta_{x_{M+1}}\cdots\delta_{x_{N-1}} - 1 > 0.$$

Hence Y is a p^*-option of X with $\tau(Y) \succ \tau(X)$ and $\mathscr{L}(\tau(Y), \tau(X)) \geqslant N$. Therefore $N \leqslant \mathrm{pk}(X)$.

□

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a position and Y its p^*-option $X \cup \{ x - p^M \} \setminus \{ x \}$. Let N be a non-negative integer with $N \geqslant \max\{ M+1, \mathrm{pk}(X) \}$, and let $S = x_{<N}$. If $\tau_0(Y_S) + \tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}) \geqslant 2$, then X has a p^*-option $\widetilde{Y}$ such that*

1. *$\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$,*
2. *$\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_S) \geqslant 2$ or $\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_{S-p^M}) \geqslant 2$.*

proof. We may assume that $\tau_0(Y_S) = \tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}) = 1$. Then

$$(Y_S)_{(p)} = \{ 1 \}^{[|Y_S|-1]} \quad \text{and} \quad (Y_{S-p^M})_{(p)} = \{ 1 \}^{[|Y_{S-p^M}|-1]}. \tag{6.2}$$

Replacing X by $X^{[p^M]}$, if necessary, we may assume that $x_M \neq 0$.

We first consider $|Y_S|$ and $|Y_{S-p^M}|$. Since $N \geqslant \max\{ M+1, \mathrm{pk}(X) \}$, it follows from Lemma 4.10 that $\tau_{\geqslant N+1}(Y) = \tau_{\geqslant N+1}(X)$. Thus $\tau_N(Y) - \tau_N(X) = \eta_N(Y) - \eta_N(X) \geqslant 0$. Let $\Delta = \tau_N(Y) - \tau_N(X)$. By Lemma 5.1, $|X_S| = |X_{S-p^M}| + \Delta + 1$, and therefore

$$\left|Y_S\right| = \left|Y_{S-p^M}\right| + \Delta - 1. \tag{6.3}$$

Furthermore, since $\tau_0(Y_S) + \tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}) = 2$ and $\Delta \geqslant 0$, it follows that $\Delta \in \{ 0, 1, 2 \}$.

We next consider $|Y_{(S,r)}|$ and $|Y_{(S-p^M,r)}|$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Lemma 6.1 yields $|X_{(S,r)}| \leqslant |X_{(S,r)-p^M}| + 1 = |X_{(S-p^M,r)}| + 1$, and so

$$\left|Y_{(S,r)}\right| \leqslant \left|Y_{(S-p^M,r)}\right| + 1. \tag{6.4}$$

Since $\eta_{N+1}(Y) = \eta_{N+1}(X)$, we have $|X_{(S,x_N)}| = |X_{(S-p^M,x_N)}| + 1$, and hence

$$\left|Y_{(S,x_N)}\right| = \left|Y_{(S-p^M,x_N)}\right| - 1. \tag{6.5}$$

Let $t = |Y_{S-p^M}|_0$. We split into three cases depending on Δ.

Case 1: $\Delta = 0$. We have $|Y_S| = |Y_{S-p^M}| - 1$. If $p = 2$, then by (6.2), $|Y_{(S,t)}| = |Y_{(S-p^M,t)}| - 2$ and $|Y_{(S,t-1)}| = |Y_{(S-p^M,t-1)}| + 1$, which contradicts (6.5). Suppose that $p > 2$. By (6.2),

$$\left|Y_{(S,r)}\right| = \left|Y_{(S-p^M,r)}\right| + \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } r \in \{ t, t-2 \}, \\ 1 & \text{if } r = t-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{ t, t-1, t-2 \}. \end{cases}$$

Thus $x_N \in \{ t, t-2 \}$ and $|X_{(S,t-1)}| = |X_{(S,t-1)-p^M}| + 1$. By Lemma 5.2, X has an option $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $\tau_{\geqslant N+1}(\widetilde{Y}) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant N+1}(X)$ and $\widetilde{Y} = X \cup \{ \tilde{x} - p^M \} \setminus \{ \tilde{x} \}$ for some $\tilde{x} \in X$ with $\tilde{x}_{<N+1} = (S, t-1)$. Since $\tilde{x}_N = t-1$, we find that $(\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_S), \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_{S-p^M})) = (2,0)$ if $x_N = t$ and $(\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_S), \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_{S-p^M})) = (0,2)$ if $x_N = t-2$. It remains to show that $\widetilde{Y}$ is a p^*-option of X with $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$. Since $\eta_N(\widetilde{Y}) - \eta_N(X) = |X_S| - |X_{S-p^M}| - 1 = 0$, we have $\tau_{\geqslant N}(\widetilde{Y}) \geq \tau_{\geqslant N}(X) = \tau_{\geqslant N}(Y)$. Since $\tilde{x}_{<N} = x_{<N}$, it follows that $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$, and so $\tau_{<N}(\widetilde{Y}) = \tau_{<N}(Y)$. Hence $\tau_{\geqslant M+1}(\widetilde{Y}) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(Y) \succeq \tau_{\geqslant M+1}(X)$.

Case 2: $\Delta = 1$. We have $|Y_S| = |Y_{S-p^M}|$, and so $|Y_{(S,r)}| = |Y_{(S-p^M,r)}|$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, which is a contradiction.

Case 3: $\Delta = 2$. We have $|Y_S| = |Y_{S-p^M}| + 1$. If $p = 2$, then by (6.2), $|Y_{(S,t-1)}| = |Y_{(S-p^M,t-1)}| + 2$, which contradicts (6.4). Suppose that $p > 2$. By (6.2), we have

$$|Y_{(S,r)}| = |Y_{(S-p^M,r)}| + \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } r = t, \\ 1 & \text{if } r \in \{ t-1, t+1 \}, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{ t, t-1, t+1 \}. \end{cases}$$

Thus $x_N = t$. By Lemma 5.2, X has a p^*-option $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $\widetilde{Y} = X \cup \{ \tilde{x} - p^M \} \setminus \{ \tilde{x} \}$ for some $\tilde{x} \in X$ with $\tilde{x}_{<N+1} = (S, t-1)$. It follows that $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$ and $\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_S) = 2$. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let X, Y, M, N, and S be as in Lemma 6.2. Let $\Delta = \tau_N(Y) - \tau_N(X)$. Suppose that $\tau_0(Y_S) + \tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}) = 1$.*

(1) *If $\Delta = 1$, then X has a p^*-option $\widetilde{Y}$ with $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^N}$ and $\big(\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_S), \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_{S-p^M})\big) = \big(\tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}), \tau_0(Y_S)\big)$.*

(2) *If $\Delta = 0$, then $\big(\tau_0(X_S), \tau_0(X_{S-p^M})\big) = \big(\tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}), \tau_0(Y_S)\big)$.*

proof. Suppose that $\Delta = 1$. Then $\tau_0(X_S) = \tau_0(X_{S-p^M}) = 0$. Replacing X by $X^{[p^M]}$, if necessary, we may assume that $x_M \neq 0$. Lemma 5.1 yields $|X_S| = |X_{S-p^M}| + 2$. Let $t = |X_{S-p^M}|_0$. Since $(X_S)_{(p)} = \varnothing^{[|X_S|]}$ and $(X_{S-p^M})_{(p)} = \varnothing^{[|X_{S-p^M}|]}$, we have

$$|X_{(S,r)}| = |X_{(S-p^M,r)}| + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \in \{ t, t+1 \}, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{ t, t+1 \}. \end{cases}$$

If $x_N = t$, then $\tau_0(Y_S) = 1$. If $x_N = t+1$, then $\tau_0(Y_{S-p^M}) = 1$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that X has a desired p^*-option.

The proof for $\Delta = 0$ is similar.

□

6.3 Proof of Lemma 4.12

proof. Let X, Y, Z, K, and $(X^0, \dots, X^h, \dots, X^n)$ be as in the beginning of this section. Let $W = X^{h-1}$ and $Z = W \cup \{ w - p^M \} \setminus \{ w \}$, and let $S = w_{<K}$. Since $\tau_K(W) < \tau_K(Z) = p$ and $\mathrm{ord}(W) < \mathrm{pk}(W) = K$, it follows from Lemma 6.2 that we may assume that $\tau_0(Z_S) + \tau_0(Z_{S-p^M}) = 1$. Hence $\tau_K(W) = p - 1$ and

$$\big(\tau_0(Z_S),\, \tau_0(Z_{S-p^M})\big) \in \{ (0,1), (1,0) \}.$$

By Lemma 6.3, W has another p^*-option $\widetilde{Z}$ with $\widetilde{Z} \equiv Z \pmod{p^K}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\tau_0(\widetilde{Z}_S),\, \tau_0(\widetilde{Z}_{S-p^M})\big) &= \big(\tau_0(Z_{S-p^M}),\, \tau_0(Z_S)\big), \\ \tau_0(\widetilde{Z}_R) &= \tau_0(Z_R) \quad \text{for each } R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K \setminus \{ S, S - p^M \}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Note that $\tau_K(\widetilde{Z}) = p$, and that $\tau_{\geqslant K+1}(\widetilde{Z}) = \tau_{\geqslant K+1}(X)$ by Corollary 4.11. Let $\widetilde{X}^h = \widetilde{Z}$. Since $\tau_{\geqslant K}(X^i) = \tau_{\geqslant K}(X^h)$ for $h \leqslant i \leqslant n$, it follows from Lemma 5.3 that there exists a p^*-path $(\widetilde{X}^h, \dots, \widetilde{X}^n)$ congruent to $(X^h, \dots, X^n)$ modulo p^K such that $\mathrm{ord}(\widetilde{X}^i) \equiv \mathrm{ord}(X^i)$ for $h \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.

Let $\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{X}^n$. Then $\widetilde{Y} \equiv Y \pmod{p^K}$ and $\tau_{\geqslant K}(\widetilde{Y}) = \tau_{\geqslant K}(\widetilde{Z})$. Thus $\tau_K(\widetilde{Y}) = p$, $\mathrm{ord}(\widetilde{Y}) = K$, and $\tau_{\geqslant K+1}(\widetilde{Y}) = \tau_{\geqslant K+1}(X)$. It remains to show that $\widetilde{Y}$ satisfies (P0). For $h \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, let

$$X^{i+1} = X^i \cup \{ x^i - p^{M^i} \} \setminus \{ x^i \} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{X}^{i+1} = \widetilde{X}^i \cup \{ \tilde{x}^i - p^{M^i} \} \setminus \{ \tilde{x}^i \}.$$

Then $x^i_{<K} = \tilde{x}^i_{<K}$. Let $S^i = x^i_{<K}$ for $h \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. For each $R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k \setminus \{ S^i, S^i - p^{M^i} \}$, we have $X^{i+1}_R = X^i_R$ and $\widetilde{X}^{i+1}_R = \widetilde{X}^i_R$. By Lemma 6.2, we may assume that

$$\big(\tau_0(X^i_{S^i}), \tau_0(X^i_{S^i - p^{M^i}})\big),\ \big(\tau_0(\widetilde{X}^i_{S^i}), \tau_0(\widetilde{X}^i_{S^i - p^{M^i}})\big) \in \{ (0,0), (0,1), (1,0) \} \quad \text{for } h \leqslant i \leqslant n-1.$$

It follows from Lemma 6.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\tau_0(X^{i+1}_{S^i}),\, \tau_0(X^{i+1}_{S^i - p^{M^i}})\big) &= \big(\tau_0(X^i_{S^i - p^{M^i}}),\, \tau_0(X^i_{S^i})\big), \\ \big(\tau_0(\widetilde{X}^{i+1}_{S^i}),\, \tau_0(\widetilde{X}^{i+1}_{S^i - p^{M^i}})\big) &= \big(\tau_0(\widetilde{X}^i_{S^i - p^{M^i}}),\, \tau_0(\widetilde{X}^i_{S^i})\big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

By (6.6) and (6.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_U),\, \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_V)\big) = \big(\tau_0(Y_V),\, \tau_0(Y_U)\big) &= (0,1) \quad \text{for some } U, V \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K, \\ \tau_0(\widetilde{Y}_R) &= \tau_0(Y_R) \quad \text{for each } R \in \mathbb{Z}_p^K \setminus \{ U, V \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\widetilde{Y}$ satisfies the condition (P0).

□

References

[1] E. R. Berlekamp, J. H. Conway, and R. K. Guy. *Winning Ways for Your Mathematical Plays*. A.K. Peters, Natick, Mass., 2nd edition, 2001.

[2] J. H. Conway. *On numbers and games*. A.K. Peters, Natick, Mass., 2nd edition, 2001.

[3] J. Flanigan. Nim, Trim and Rim. Working Paper, Mathematics Department, University of California, Los Angeles, 1980.

[4] P. M. Grundy. Mathematics and games. *Eureka*, 2:6–8, 1939.

[5] N. Kawanaka. Games and Algorithms with Hook Structure. to appear in Sugaku Expositions, American Mathematical Society.

[6] I. G. Macdonald. On the Degrees of the Irreducible Representations of Symmetric Groups. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(2):189–192, Jan. 1971.

[7] E. H. Moore. A Generalization of the Game Called Nim. *Annals of Mathematics*, 11(3):93–94, 1910.

[8] J. Olsson. *Combinatorics and Representations of Finite Groups*. Vorlesungen aus dem FB Mathematik der Univ. Essen, Heft 20, 1993.

[9] M. Sato. On a game (notes by K. Ueno)(in Japanese). In *Proceedings of the 12th symposium of the Algebra Section of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, pages 123–136, 1968.

[10] M. Sato. Mathematical theory of Maya game (notes by H. Enomoto)(in Japanese). *RIMS Kôkyûroku*, 98:105–135, 1970.

[11] M. Sato. On Maya game (notes by H. Enomoto)(in Japansese). *Sugaku no Ayumi*, 15(1):73–84, 1970.

[12] R. Sprague. Über mathematische Kampfspiele. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, First Series*, 41:438–444, 1935.

[13] C. P. Welter. The Theory of a Class of Games on a Sequence of Squares, in Terms of the Advancing Operation in a Special Group. *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, 57:194–200, 1954.